# Profesores y alumnos huéspedes y anfitriones

# EVERYBODY FITS IN I. FRIST MEETING EVALUATION

Year: 2009 Host country: Spain

Country: Spain All Teachers: 30

4	T A	TAT	CII	Δ	GE
<b>-</b>	1,1		LTLI	_	LTF.

4.1.	What <b>language</b>	have you used?	English 65%	, Spanish -	-French or	Italian 15	5%, English	-Spanish
20%	, )							

- 4.2. **Communication** in general was: Fluent 53,3% | Medium 40% | Difficult 6,7%
- 4.3. **Talks** were: Fluent 40% | Medium 50% | Difficult 3,3% (2 no answer)
- 4.4. With written **texts**: Fluent 66,7% | Medium 16.7% | Difficult 6,7% ( 3 no answer)
- 4.5. In the **work sessions**: Fluent 70% | Medium 23,3% | Difficult 3,3% (1 no answer)
- 4.5. With **partners**: Fluent 46,7% | Medium 33,3% | Difficult  $\square$  (6 no answer)
- 4.6. Did you achieve your goals when having a conversation in another language? Yes83,3% | No13,3%
- 4.7. Have you developed your **social skills**? Yes 90% | No 10%
- 4.8. Have you improved your language skills? Yes 90% | No 10%

## 5. WORK

- 5.1. Did you have a **work plan**? Yes 93,3% | No 6,7%
  - 5.1.1. The number of our sessions have been? Too many 10% | Enough 90% | Not enough  $\square$
  - 5.1.2. Did work sessions match the previous plan? Yes 83,3% | No 16,7%
  - 5.1.3. Did we have clear objectives for our communication during work sessions? Yes 86,3% No 16,7%
- 5.2. Were the **tasks** clear for you? Yes 83,3% | No 16,7%
  - 5.2.1. Were tasks shared out? Yes 96,7% | No 3,3%
- 5.3. Have your **teamwork skills** been developed? Yes 90% | No 6,7% (1 no answer)
- 5.4. Was the school well-equipped with new **technology**? Yes 86,7% | No 6,7% ( 2 no answer)
- 5.5. (Only teachers) Have **previous agreements** been kept? Yes 96,7% | No 3,3%
- 5.6. (Only teachers) Have **new agreements** for future visits been reached? Yes 100% | No  $\square$
- 5.7. About the aims:
  - 5.7.1. Has it been fulfilled?
    - 5.7.1.1. Motivate towards the other languages learning: Yes 100%  $\mid$  No  $\square$ , why?:
    - 5.7.1.2. Enhance the use of new technologies? Yes 96,7% | No 3,3%, why?:
    - 5.7.1.3. Increase the feeling of European Citizenship? Yes  $100\% + No \square$ , why?:
    - 5.7.1.4. Break down prejudices of the participant countries? Yes 93,3% | No 6,7%, why?:
    - 5.7.1.5. Improve the teaching practice?: Yes 96,7% | No 3,3%, why?:
    - 5.7.1.6. Promote equality between sexes and cultures? Yes 93,3% | No 6,7%, why?:
  - 5.7.2. Were you aware of the Project objectives? Low □ | Average 43,3% | High 56,7%

### 5.8. About the **finished product**:

- 5.8.1. Do you think that the production has: Low quality  $\square$  | Medium 33,3% | High 66,7%
- 5.8.2. Are there any individual tasks done in your school? Many 53,3% | Few 40% | (2 no answer)
- 5.8.3. Your personal contribution to final products was:Low16,7% | Average 50% | High33,3%
- 5.9. Have you attended any **lessons**? Yes  $\square$ 66,7% | No 16,7% ( 5 no answer)

### 5.10. Aspects to improve:

Increase the participation of teachers. A better management of work time. Working in multinational teams (Both students and teachers). Free time, work session with concrete activities. Everything was great.

### 5.11. Difficulties:

### 5.12. Suggestions:

Invite parents to expositions. Elaborating a more compact programme. More work sessions with teachers and students together. The work session regarding to application form or organizational aspects only with coordinators. Trying not to arrange late evening working sessions.